

REMARKS

Applicant notes the rejection of certain claims of the present application based upon published U.S. Application Serial No. 2003/0112325 to Boyden, et al. Applicant further notes the Examiner's conclusion that claims 4-9 and 15-20 are merely objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Although applicant does not agree with the conclusions that Boyden, et al. anticipates or renders obvious any of the claims of the present invention, for expedience, applicant has cancelled all but the claims indicated as being allowable.

Specifically, applicant has submitted new claims 23 and 24 which are, in effect, those claims indicated by the Examiner as being allowable. Claim 23 is the combination of claims 1 and 4 while claim 24 is the combination of claims 12 and 15. The remaining claims are all dependent upon either of these two claims and thus are themselves allowable.

In that applicant has amended the present application to include only subject matter that the Examiner has found to be allowable, it is respectfully asserted that the present application is in condition for allowance and such disposition is earnestly solicited.

Dated: May 17, 2005

By: 
Michael E. Dergosits

Four Embarcadero Center
Suite 1450
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 705-6377 Telephone
(415) 705-6383 Facsimile